

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

IN RE: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)
ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Case No. 07-5944 SC

MDL No. 1917

This Document Relates to:

All Indirect Purchaser Actions

Sharp Electronics Corp., et al. v. Hitachi Ltd., et al.,
No. 13-cv-1173;

Sharp Electronics Corp., et al. v. Koninklijke Philips
Elecs. N.V., et al., No. 13-cv-02776;

Siegel v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al., No. 11-cv-05502;

Siegel v. Technicolor SA, et al., No. 13-cv-05261;

Best Buy Co., et al. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al.,
No. 11-cv-05513;

Best Buy Co., et al. v. Technicolor SA, et al., No. 13-cv-
05264;

Target Corp. v. Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd., et al.,
No. 11-cv-05514;

Target Corp. v. Technicolor SA, et al., No. 13-cv-
05686;

Sears, Roebuck and Co. and Kmart Corp. v. Chunghwa
Picture Tubes, Ltd., et al., No. 11-cv-05514;

Sears, Roebuck and Co. and Kmart Corp. v.
Technicolor SA, et al., No. 13-cv-05262;

Viewsonic Corp. v. Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd., et
al., No. 14-cv-02510.

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
DEFENDANTS' JOINT MOTION IN
LIMINE NO. 1: MOTION FOR
PRETRIAL PROFFER AND RULING
ON ADMISSIBILITY OF ALLEGED
CO-CONSPIRATOR STATEMENTS
UNDER FED. R. E. 801(d)(2)(E)**

1 Having considered the Defendants' Motion in Limine for a pretrial proffer and ruling on
2 admissibility of alleged co-conspirator statements under Federal Rule of Evidence 801(d)(2)(E), it is
3 hereby

4 ORDERED that Defendants' motion is GRANTED; and it is further

5 ORDERED that Plaintiffs disclose in advance of trial the statements they intend to offer into
6 evidence as co-conspirator statements and to specify against which Defendant(s) they intend to use
7 each statement.

8 **IT IS SO ORDERED**

9
10 Dated: _____

Hon. Samuel Conti
United States District Judge